

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/699,855 | 11/04/2003 | Giichi Shibuya | 244893US2 | 5761 |
| 22850 | 7590 07/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | PATEL, GAUTAM | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2627 | |
| | | | | _ |

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/699,855 | SHIBUYA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Gautam R. Patel | 2627 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE one MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ma | ay 2006. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 200 and distance detailed office desired in the destined copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | nte | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: See Continue | atent Application (PTO-152) ation Sheet. | | | | |

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Warnings has been given in this action with respect to specification and drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,855 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

IMPORTANT NOTES & REMARKS

1. Following things need the Applicant's immediate attention to expedite the prosecution of this application.

- a. The specification seems to have numerous mistakes [such as words left in the middle of the sentences. These needs to be corrected.
- b. Abstract needs to be on single page & single paragraph with 150 words or less.
- c. Claims 15, 17, 19 and 20 [and hence 16 and 18] has <u>wrong dependence</u>, these needs to be written in independent form with all limitations of claim 1 [or related claims] incorporated in it or claims be cancelled.
- d. So called "light detecting device" [claim 15 and 17] is not properly defined in the specification or shown in the figures at all. This must be clarified properly without adding new matter.
- e. Fig. 2, has <u>foreign language writings</u> on box 14, this must be corrected to proper language such as English. Also hand written notes on fig. 2 are not clear, a new fig. 2 with readable lettering is required.
- f. Fig. 1, needs to be marked as "prior art".

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- A. Claims 1-14 and 21 are drawn to a so called light receiving device or an optical head structure and details, classified in Class 369, subclass 44.14.
- B. Claims 15-18 are drawn to a light detecting device or more properly focus and/or aberration detecting device, classified in Class 369, subclass 53.23.
- C. Claims 19-20 are drawn to a so called an optical signal reproducing device, classified in Class 369, subclass 44.11.

Inventions C and A are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/699,855

Art Unit: 2627

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus does not require details of a light receiving device. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used with a magnetic recording apparatus or a hard drive.

Page 3

Inventions C and B are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus does not require details of a focus or aberration detecting device. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used with a magnetic recording apparatus or a hard drive.

Inventions A and B are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus does not require details of a focus or aberration detecting device. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used with a magnetic recording apparatus or a hard drive.

<u>In addition</u>, the Applicants are also required elect a single species from whichever of the above group they elect. For group A, B, or C.

These groups contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The optical pickup device of:

- a. fig. 3 [first embodiment];
- b. fig. 10 [second embodiment];
- c. fig. 11 [third embodiment];
- d. fig. 12 [fourth embodiment].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Art Unit: 2627

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Ronald Martin on July 3, 2006; to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

NOTE: Mr. Martin requested that a formal restriction be sent out for examination of the client.

4. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 1 (one) month and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Contact information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2600) where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dwayne Bost, who can be reached on (571) 272-7023.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,855

Art Unit: 2627

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Electronic Business Center whose telephone number is 866-217-9197 or the USPTO contact Center telephone number is (800) PTO-9199.

GAUTAM R. PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2627

July 4, 2006